

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,977                             | 12/30/2003                     | Angel Stoyanov       | 25339               | 8820             |
|  | 7590 11/12/200<br>ISER COMPANY | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT., CH 1J27   |                                |                      | WHITE, EVERETT NMN  |                  |
| P.O. BOX 9777<br>FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063 |                                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| TEDESCEE W                             | TEDERAL WAT, WA 30003          |                      | 1623                |                  |
|  |                                |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                |                      | 11/12/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@weyerhaeuser.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/748,977      | STOYANOV ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| EVERETT WHITE   | 1623            |  |

|  | EVERETT WHITE  | 1623                        |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | orrespondence add           | ress          |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.                |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places th application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>   | date of the final rejection.   |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n.            |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I   |  | 00/->                       |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to                                       | avoid dismissal of the      |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>AMENDMENTS  | thin the time period set forth in 37                                     | CFR 41.37(a).               |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | t prior to the data of films a brief                                     |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>   | nsideration and/or search (see NOT                                       |                             | cause         |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti<br>appeal; and/or   | ter form for appeal by materially rec                                    | lucing or simplifying to    | ne issues for |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   | corresponding number of finally reje                                     | ected claims.               |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (I        | PTOL-324).    |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |  | •                           | •             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | be entered and an e         | cplanation of |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-14 and 17</u> .  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea                                | l and/or appellant fail:    | to provide a  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er                                   | ntry is below or attach     | ed.           |  |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>See Continuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan       | ce because:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Outer   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Shaojia Anna Jiang/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623   |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                             |               |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons already of record. Applicants argue against the new matter rejection under 35 USC 112, 1ST paragraph, on ground that the amended temperature maps and whiteness range are within the ranges recited in the specification. This argument is not persuasive since the recited temperature of 185 degrees C and the whiteness index of 73.0 are not described in the originally filed specification and therefor are not supported by disclosure. With regard to the art rejections, criticality of the claimed temperature range has not been established since the instant specification covers the temperature values disclosed in the prior art. If criticality is asserted for proportions or ranges, the specifications units not disclosed them as merely preferred. Hays v. Reynolds, Cornr. Pats. (DCDC 1965) 242 FSupp 206, 145 USPQ 685; In re Bourdon (CCPA 1957) 240 F2d 358, 112 USPQ 323, Accordingly, the rejection of Colisms 1, 3-14 and 17 is maintained for the reasons of record.